arXiv:1102.2148v1 [math.AP] 10 Feb 2011

Generalized solutions for the Euler-Bernoulli model with Zener viscoelastic foundations and distributional forces*

Günther Hörmann †
Sanja Konjik ‡
Ljubica Oparnica §

Abstract

We study the initial-boundary value problem for an Euler-Bernoulli beam model with discontinuous bending stiffness laying on a viscoelastic foundation and subjected to an axial force and an external load both of Dirac-type. The corresponding model equation is fourth order partial differential equation and involves discontinuous and distributional coefficients as well as a distributional right-hand side. Moreover the viscoelastic foundation is of Zener type and described by a fractional differential equation with respect to time. We show how functional analytic methods for abstract variational problems can be applied in combination with regularization techniques to prove existence and uniqueness of generalized solutions.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35D30, 46F30, 35Q74, 26A33, 35A15

Keywords: generalized solutions, Colombeau generalized functions, fractional derivatives, functional analytic methods, energy estimates

1 Introduction and preliminaries

We study existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution to the initial-boundary value problem

$$\partial_t^2 u + Q(t,x,\partial_x)u + g = h, \tag{1}$$

$$D_t^\alpha u + u = \theta\, D_t^\alpha g + g, \tag{2}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = f_1, \quad \partial_t u|_{t=0} = f_2, \tag{IC}$$

$$u|_{x=0} = u|_{x=1} = 0, \quad \partial_x u|_{x=0} = \partial_x u|_{x=1} = 0, \tag{BC}$$

where Q is a differential operator of the form

$$Qu := \partial_x^2(c(x)\partial_x^2 u) + b(x,t)\partial_x^2 u,$$

b, c, g, h, f_1 and f_2 are generalized functions, θ a constant, $0 < \theta < 1$, and D_t^α denotes the left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α with respect to t. Problem (1)-(2) is equivalent to

$$\partial_t^2 u + Q(t,x,\partial_x)u + Lu = h, \tag{3}$$

---

*Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) START program Y237 on 'Nonlinear distributional geometry', and the Serbian Ministry of Science Project 144016

†Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Nordbergstr. 15, A-1090 Vienna, Austria, Electronic mail: guenther.hoermann@univie.ac.at

‡Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics and Informatics, University of Novi Sad, Trg D. Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia, Electronic mail: sanja.konjik@dmi.uns.ac.rs

§Faculty of Education, University of Novi Sad, Podgorička 4, 25000 Sombor, Serbia, Electronic mail: ljubica.oparnica@pef.uns.ac.rs

with L being the (convolution) operator given by ($\mathcal{L}$ denoting the Laplace transform)

$$Lu(x,t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1+s^\alpha}{1+\theta s^\alpha}\right)(t) *_t u(x,t), \tag{4}$$

with the same initial (IC) and boundary (BC) conditions (cf. Section 3).

The precise structure of the above problem is motivated by a model from mechanics describing the displacement of a beam under axial and transversal forces connected to the viscoelastic foundation, which we briefly discuss in Subsection 1.1. We then briefly introduce the theory of Colombeau generalized functions which forms the framework for our work. Similar problems involving distributional and generalized solutions to Euler-Bernoulli beam models have been studied in [4, 12, 13, 20, 21]. The development of the theory in the paper is divided into two parts. In Section 2 we consider the initial-boundary value problem (3)-(IC)-(BC) on the abstract level. We prove, in Theorem 2.3, an existence result for the abstract variational problem corresponding to (3)-(IC)-(BC) and derive energy estimates (19) which guarantee uniqueness and serve as a key tool in the analysis of Colombeau generalized solutions. In Section 3, we first show equivalence of the system (1)-(2) with the integro-differential equation (3), and apply the results from Section 2 to the original problem in establishing weak solutions, if the coefficients are in L^∞. Afterwards we allow the coefficients to be more irregular, set up the problem and show existence and uniqueness of solutions in the space of generalized functions.

1.1 The Euler-Bernoulli beam with viscoelastic foundation

Consider the Euler-Bernoulli beam positioned on the viscoelastic foundation (cf. [2] for mechanical background). One can write the differential equation of the transversal motion

$$\frac{\partial^2}{dx^2}\left(A(x)\frac{\partial^2 u}{dx^2}\right) + P(t)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + R(x)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + g(x,t) = h(x,t), \qquad x \in [0,1],\, t > 0, \tag{5}$$

where

- A denotes the bending stiffness and is given by $A(x) = EI_1 + H(x-x_0)EI_2$. Here, the constant E is the modulus of elasticity, I_1, I_2, $I_1 \neq I_2$, are the moments of inertia that correspond to the two parts of the beam, and H is the Heaviside jump function;
- R denotes the line density (i.e., mass per length) of the material and is of the form $R(x) = R_0 + H(x-x_0)(R_1 - R_2)$;
- $P(t)$ is the axial force, and is assumed to be of the form $P(t) = P_0 + P_1\delta(t-t_1)$, $P_0, P_1 > 0$;
- $g = g(x,t)$ denotes the force terms coming from the foundation;
- $u = u(x,t)$ denotes the displacement;
- $h = h(x,t)$ is the prescribed external load (e.g. when describing moving load it is of the form $h(x,t) = H_0\delta(x-ct)$, H_0 and c are constants).

Since the beam is connected to the viscoelastic foundation there is a constitutive equation describing relation between the force of foundation and the displacement of the beam. We use the Zener generalized model given by

$$D_t^\alpha u(x,t) + u(x,t) = \theta\, D_t^\alpha g(x,t) + g(x,t), \tag{6}$$

where $0 < \theta < 1$ and D_t^α denotes the left Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α with respect to t, defined by

$$D_t^\alpha u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_0^t \frac{u(\tau)}{(t-\tau)^\alpha}\, d\tau.$$

System (5)-(6) is supplied with initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = f_1(x), \qquad \partial_t u(x,0) = f_2(x),$$

where f_1 and f_2 are the initial displacement and the initial velocity. If $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = 0$ the only solution to (5)-(6) should be $u \equiv g \equiv 0$. Also, the beam is considered to be fixed at both ends, hence boundary conditions take the form

$$u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0, \qquad \partial_x u(0,t) = \partial_x u(1,t) = 0.$$

By a change of variables $t \mapsto \tau$ via $t(\tau) = \sqrt{R(x)}\tau$ the problem (5)-(6) is transformed into the standard form given in (1)-(2). The function c in (1) equals A and therefore is of Heaviside type, and the function b is then given by $b(x,t) = P(R(x)t)$ and its regularity properties depend on the assumptions on P and R.

As we shall see in Section 3, standard functional analytic techniques reach as far as the following: boundedness of b together with sufficient (spatial Sobolev) regularity of the initial values f_1, f_2 ensure existence of a unique solution $u \in L^2(0,T;H^2_0((0,1)))$ (in fact $u \in L^2(0,T;H^2_0((0,1))))$ to (3) with (IC) and (BC). However, the prominent case $b = p_0 + p_1\delta(t - t_1)$ is clearly not covered by such a result, so in order to allow for these stronger singularities one needs to go beyond distributional solutions.

1.2 Basic spaces of generalized functions

We shall set up and solve Equation (3) subject to the initial and boundary conditions (IC) and (BC) in an appropriate space of Colombeau generalized functions on the domain $X_T := (0,1) \times (0,T)$ (with $T > 0$) as introduced in [3] and applied later on, e.g., also in [11, 13]. As a few standard references for the general background concerning Colombeau algebras on arbitrary open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ or on manifolds we may mention [5, 6, 10, 17].

We review the basic notions and facts about the kind of generalized functions we will employ below: we start with regularizing families $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]}$ of smooth functions $u_\varepsilon \in H^\infty(X_T)$ (space of smooth functions on X_T all of whose derivatives belong to L^2). We will often write $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ to mean $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in(0,1]}$. We consider the following subalgebras:

Moderate families, denoted by $\mathcal{E}_{M,H^\infty(X_T)}$, are defined by the property

$$\forall\, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \exists\, p \geq 0 : \|\partial^\alpha u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)} = O(\varepsilon^{-p}), \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Null families, denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$, are the families in $\mathcal{E}_{M,H^\infty(X_T)}$ satisfying

$$\forall\, q \geq 0 : \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)} = O(\varepsilon^q) \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Thus moderateness requires L^2 estimates with at most polynomial divergence as $\varepsilon \to 0$, together with all derivatives, while null families vanish very rapidly as $\varepsilon \to 0$. We remark that null families in fact have all derivatives satisfy estimates of the same kind (cf. [9, Proposition 3.4(ii)]). Thus null families form a differential ideal in the collection of moderate families and we may define the *Colombeau algebra* as the factor algebra

$$\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)} = \mathcal{E}_{M,H^\infty(X_T)}/\mathcal{N}_{H^\infty(X_T)}.$$

A typical notation for the equivalence classes in $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ with representative $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ will be $[(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$. Finally, the algebra $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$ of generalized functions on the interval $(0,1)$ is defined similarly and every element can be considered to be a member of $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ as well.

We briefly recall a few technical remarks from [13, Subsection 1.2]:

If $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{M,H^\infty(X_T)}$ we have smoothness up to the boundary for every u_ε, i.e. $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty([0,1] \times [0,T])$ (which follows from Sobolev space properties on the Lipschitz domain X_T; cf. [1]) and therefore the restriction $u|_{t=0}$ of a generalized function $u \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ to $t = 0$ is well-defined by $u_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0) \in \mathcal{E}_{M,H^\infty((0,1))}$.

If $v \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$ and in addition we have for some representative $(v_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of v that $v_\varepsilon \in H_0^2((0,1))$, then $v_\varepsilon(0) = v_\varepsilon(1) = 0$ and $\partial_x v_\varepsilon(0) = \partial_x v_\varepsilon(1) = 0$. In particular,

$$v(0) = v(1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_x v(0) = \partial_x v(1) = 0$$

holds in the sense of generalized numbers.

Note that L^2-estimates for parametrized families $u_\varepsilon \in H^\infty(X_T)$ always yield similar L^∞-estimates concerning ε-asymptotics (since $H^\infty(X_T) \subset C^\infty(\overline{X_T}) \subset W^{\infty,\infty}(X_T)$).

The space $H^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. distributions of finite order, is embedded (as a linear space) into $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ by convolution regularization (cf. [3]). This embedding renders $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)}$.

Certain generalized functions possess distribution aspects, namely we call $u = [(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty}$ *associated with the distribution* $w \in \mathcal{D}'$, notation $u \approx w$, if for some (hence any) representative $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of u we have $u_\varepsilon \to w$ in $\mathcal{D}'$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

2 Preparations: An abstract evolution problem in variational form and the convolution-type operator L

In this section we study an abstract background of equation (3) subject to the initial and boundary conditions (IC) and (BC) in terms of bilinear forms on arbitrary Hilbert spaces. First we shall repeat standard results and then extend them to a wider class of problems. We shall show existence of a unique solution, derive energy estimates, and analyze the particular form of the operator L appearing in (3).

Let V and H be two separable Hilbert spaces, where V is densely embedded into H. We shall denote the norms in V and H by $\|\cdot\|_V$ and $\|\cdot\|_H$ respectively. If V' denotes the dual of V, then $V \subset H \subset V'$ forms a Gelfand triple. In the sequel we shall also make use of the Hilbert spaces $E_V := L^2(0,T;V)$ with the norm $\|u\|_{E_V} := (\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_V^2\, dt)^{1/2}$, and $E_H := L^2(0,T;H)$ with the norm $\|u\|_{E_H} := (\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_H^2\, dt)^{1/2}$. Since, $\|v\|_H \leq \mathcal{C} \cdot \|v\|_V$, $v \in V$, (without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{C} = 1$), it follows that $\|u\|_{E_H} \leq \|u\|_{E_V}$, $u \in E_V$, and $E_V \subset E_H$. The bilinear forms we shall deal with will be of the following type:

Assumption 1. *Let $a(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $a_0(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ and $a_1(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $t \in [0,T]$, be (parametrized) families of continuous bilinear forms on V with*

$$a(t,u,v) = a_0(t,u,v) + a_1(t,u,v) \qquad \forall\, u,v \in V,$$

such that the 'principal part' a_0 and the remainder a_1 satisfy the following conditions:

- *(i) $t \mapsto a_0(t,u,v)$ is continuously differentiable $[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$, for all $u,v \in V$;*
- *(ii) a_0 is symmetric, i.e., $a_0(t,u,v) = a_0(t,v,u)$, for all $u,v \in V$;*
- *(iii) there exist real constants $\lambda, \mu > 0$ such that*

$$a_0(t,u,u) \geq \mu \|u\|_V^2 - \lambda \|u\|_H^2, \qquad \forall\, u \in V, \forall\, t \in [0,T]; \tag{7}$$

- *(iv) $t \mapsto a_1(t,u,v)$ is continuous $[0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$, for all $u,v \in V$;*
- *(v) there exists $C_1 \geq 0$ such that for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $u,v \in V$, $|a_1(t,u,v)| \leq C_1 \|u\|_V \,\|v\|_H$.*

It follows from condition (i) that there exist nonnegative constants C_0 and C_0' such that for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $u,v \in V$,

$$|a_0(t,u,v)| \leq C_0 \|u\|_V \,\|v\|_V \quad \text{and} \quad |a_0'(t,u,v)| \leq C_0' \|u\|_V \,\|v\|_V, \tag{8}$$

where $a_0'(t,u,v) := \frac{d}{dt} a_0(t,u,v)$.

It is shown in [7, Ch. XVIII, p. 558, Th. 1] (see also [14, Ch. III, Sec. 8]) that the above conditions guarantee unique solvability of the abstract variational problem in the following sense:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $t \in [0,T]$, satisfy Assumption 1. Let $u_0 \in V$, $u_1 \in H$ and $f \in E_H$. Then there exists a unique $u \in E_V$ satisfying the regularity conditions*

$$u' = \frac{du}{dt} \in E_V \quad \text{and} \quad u'' = \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} \in L^2(0,T;V') \tag{9}$$

(here time derivatives should be understood in distributional sense), and solving the abstract initial value problem

$$\langle u''(t), v\rangle + a(t, u(t), v) = \langle f(t), v\rangle, \qquad \forall\, v \in V, \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0,T) \tag{10}$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \qquad u'(0) = u_1. \tag{11}$$

(Note that (9) implies that $u \in C([0,T],V)$ and $u' \in C([0,T],V')$. Hence it makes sense to evaluate $u(0) \in V$ and $u'(0) \in V'$ and (11) claims that these equal u_0 and u_1, respectively.)

Remark 2.2. The precise meaning of (10) is the following: $\forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}((0,T))$,

$$\langle\langle u''(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle_{(\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D})} + \langle a(t,u(t),v), \varphi\rangle_{(\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D})} = \langle\langle f(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle_{(\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D})},$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_0^T \langle u(t), v\rangle \varphi''(t)\, dt + \int_0^T a(t,u(t),v)\varphi(t)\, dt = \int_0^T \langle f(t), v\rangle \varphi(t)\, dt.$$

The proof of this theorem proceeds by showing that u satisfies a priori (energy) estimates which immediately imply uniqueness of the solution, and then using the Galerkin approximation method to prove existence of a solution. An explicit form of the energy estimate for the abstract variational problem (9)-(11) with precise dependence of all constants is derived in [13, Prop. 1.3] in the form

$$\|u(t)\|_V^2 + \|u'(t)\|_H^2 \le \left(D_T \|u_0\|_V^2 + \|u_1\|_H^2 + \int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_H^2\, d\tau \right) \cdot e^{t\cdot F_T}, \tag{12}$$

where $D_T := \frac{C_0+\lambda(1+T)}{\min\{1,\mu\}}$ and $F_T := \max\{\frac{C_0'+C_1}{\min\{1,\mu\}}, \frac{C_1+T+2)}{\min\{1,\mu\}}\}$.

2.1 Existence of a solution to the abstract variational problem

We shall now prove a similar result for a slightly modified abstract variational problem, which is to encompass our problem (3). Here in addition to the bilinear forms we shall consider "causal" operators $L : L^2(0,T_1;H) \to L^2(0,T_1;H)$, $\forall\, T_1 < T$, which satisfy the estimate: $\exists\, C_L > 0$ such that

$$\|Lu\|_{L^2(0,T_1;H)} \le C_L \|u\|_{L^2(0,T_1;H)}, \tag{13}$$

where C_L is independent of T_1.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $t \in [0,T]$, satisfy Assumption 1. Let $f_1 \in V$, $f_2 \in H$ and $h \in E_H$. Let $L : E_H \to E_H$ satisfy (13). Then there exists a $u \in E_V$ satisfying the regularity conditions*

$$u' = \frac{du}{dt} \in E_V \quad \text{and} \quad u'' = \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} \in L^2(0,T;V')$$

and solving the abstract initial value problem

$$\langle u''(t), v\rangle + a(t,u(t),v) + \langle Lu(t), v\rangle = \langle h(t), v\rangle, \qquad \forall\, v \in V, \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0,T), \tag{14}$$

$$u(0) = f_1, \qquad u'(0) = f_2. \tag{15}$$

Moreover, we have $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T];V)$ and $u' \in \mathcal{C}([0,T];H)$.

Here we give a proof based on an iterative procedure and employing Theorem 2.1 and the energy estimate (12) in each step. Notice that the precise meaning of (14) (in distributional sense) is explained in Remark 2.2.

Proof. Let $u_0 \in E_H$ be arbitrarily chosen and consider the initial value problem for u in the sense of Remark 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u''(t), v\rangle + a(t, u(t), v) + \langle Lu_0(t), v\rangle = \langle h(t), v\rangle, \qquad \forall\, v \in V, \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0,T), \\ &u(0) = f_1, \quad u'(0) = f_2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By Theorem 2.1 there exists a unique $u_1 \in E_V$ satisfying $u_1' \in E_V$, $u_1'' \in L^2(0,T;V')$, and solving (16). Consider now (16) with Lu_1 instead of Lu_0. As above, by Theorem 2.1, one obtains a unique solution $u_2 \in E_V$ with $u_2' \in E_V$ and $u_2'' \in L^2(0,T;V')$. Repeating this procedure we obtain a sequence of functions $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in E_V$, satisfying $u_k' \in E_V$, $u_k'' \in L^2(0,T;V')$, and solving the following problems: for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle u_k''(t), v\rangle + a(t, u_k(t), v) + \langle Lu_{k-1}(t), v\rangle = \langle h(t), v\rangle, \qquad \forall\, v \in V, \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0,T), \\ &u_k(0) = f_1, \quad u_k'(0) = f_2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, u_k satisfies the energy estimate of type (12):

$$\|u_k(t)\|_V^2 + \|u_k'(t)\|_H^2 \le \left(D_T \, \|f_1\|_V^2 + \|f_2\|_H^2 + \int_0^t \|(h - Lu_{k-1})(\tau)\|_H^2 \, d\tau \right) \cdot e^{t\cdot F_T},$$

where the constants D_T and F_T are independent of k. We claim that $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in E_V. To see this, we first note that $u_l - u_k$ solves

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (u_l - u_k)''(t), v\rangle + a(t, (u_l - u_k)(t), v) + \langle L(u_{l-1} - u_{k-1})(t), v\rangle = 0, \quad \forall\, v \in V, \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0,T), \\ &(u_l - u_k)(0) = 0, \quad (u_l - u_k)'(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $u_l - u_k \in E_V$, with $u_l' - u_k' \in E_V$ and $u_l'' - u_k'' \in L^2(0,T;V')$. Moreover, the corresponding energy estimate is of the form

$$\|(u_l - u_k)(t)\|_V^2 + \|(u_l - u_k)'(t)\|_H^2 \le e^{t\cdot F_T} \cdot \int_0^t \|L(u_{l-1} - u_{k-1})(\tau)\|_H^2 \, d\tau. \tag{17}$$

Thus,

$$\|(u_l - u_k)(t)\|_V^2 \le e^{T\cdot F_T} \cdot \int_0^T \|L(u_{l-1} - u_{k-1})(\tau)\|_H^2 \, d\tau = e^{T\cdot F_T} \cdot \|L(u_{l-1} - u_{k-1})\|_{E_H}^2.$$

Integrating from 0 to T and using assumption (13) on L, one obtains

$$\|u_l - u_k\|_{E_V} \le \gamma_T \|u_{l-1} - u_{k-1}\|_{E_H}, \tag{18}$$

where $\gamma_T := C_L \sqrt{T} e^{\frac{T\cdot F_T}{2}}$. Taking now $l = k+1$ in (18) successively, yields

$$\|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{E_V} \le \gamma_T^k \|u_1 - u_0\|_{E_H} \le \gamma_T^k \|u_1 - u_0\|_{E_V},$$

and hence

$$\|u_l - u_k\|_{E_V} \le \|u_l - u_{l-1}\|_{E_V} + \ldots + \|u_{k+1} - u_k\|_{E_V} \le \sum_{i=l-1}^{k} \gamma_T^i \|u_1 - u_0\|_{E_V}.$$

We may choose $T_1 < T$ such that $\gamma_{T_1} < 1$, hence $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{T_1}^i$ converges. Note that $t \mapsto \gamma_t$ is increasing. By abuse of notation we denote $L^2(0,T_1;V)$ again by E_V. This further implies that $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence convergent in E_V, say $u := \lim_{k\to\infty} u_k$. Similarly, one can show convergence of u_k' in E_V, i.e., existence of $v := \lim_{k\to\infty} u_k' \in E_V$. In the distributional setting $\lim_{k\to\infty} u_k' = u'$, and therefore $u' = v \in E_V$ (cf. [7, Ch. XVIII, p. 473, Prop. 6]).

We also have to show that u solves equation (14). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}((0,T))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\langle h(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle &= \langle\langle u_k''(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle + \langle a(t, u_k(t), v), \varphi\rangle + \langle\langle Lu_{k-1}(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle u_k, v\rangle, \varphi''\rangle + \langle a(t, u_k(t), v), \varphi\rangle + \langle\langle Lu_{k-1}(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle \\ &\to \langle\langle u, v\rangle, \varphi''\rangle + \langle a(t, u(t), v), \varphi\rangle + \langle\langle Lu(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle\langle u''(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle + \langle a(t, u(t), v), \varphi\rangle + \langle\langle Lu(t), v\rangle, \varphi\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that $\varphi'' \in \mathcal{D}((0,T))$. Therefore u solves (14) on the time interval $[0, T_1]$. The initial conditions are satisfied by construction of u.

It remains to extend this result on existence of a solution to the whole interval $[0,T]$.

Since T_1 is independent on the initial conditions, if $T > T_1$ one needs at most $\frac{T}{T_1}$ steps to reach convergence in E_V. In fact, one has to show regularity at the end point T_1 of the interval $[0, T_1]$ on which the solution exists, i.e.,

$$u(T_1) \in V \quad \text{and} \quad u'(T_1) \in H.$$

To see this, it suffices to show that $u_k \to u$ in $Y_V := \mathcal{C}([0,T_1]; V)$ and $u_k' \to u'$ in $Y_H := \mathcal{C}([0,T_1]; H)$. From (17) and assumption (13) on L we obtain

$$\|(u_l - u_k)(t)\|_V^2 \le e^{T_1 \cdot F_{T_1}} C_L^2 \int_0^{T_1} \|(u_l - u_k)(\tau)\|_H^2 \, d\tau \le e^{T_1 \cdot F_{T_1}} C_L^2 \int_0^{T_1} \|(u_l - u_k)(\tau)\|_V^2 \, d\tau.$$

Taking first the square root and then the supremum over all $t \in [0,T]$ yields

$$\|u_l - u_k\|_{Y_V} \le \gamma_{T_1} \|u_l - u_k\|_{Y_V}.$$

Since $\gamma_{T_1} < 1$ this implies that $\{u_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y_V. Similarly,

$$\|(u_l - u_k)'(t)\|_H^2 \le e^{T_1 \cdot F_{T_1}} C_L^2 \int_0^{T_1} \|(u_l - u_k)(\tau)\|_V^2 \, d\tau,$$

which upon taking the supremum gives

$$\|(u_l - u_k)'\|_{Y_H} \le \gamma_{T_1} \|u_l - u_k\|_{Y_V},$$

thus $u_k' \to u'$ in Y_H (due to the already established convergence of u_k in Y_V), and $u'(T_1) \in H$. This proves the claim. □

2.2 Energy estimates

In Section 3 we shall need a priori (energy) estimate for problem (3). In fact, for the verification of moderateness in the Colombeau setting it will be crucial to know all constants in the energy estimate precisely. Therefore, we shall now derive it.

Proposition 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.3, let u be a solution to the abstract variational problem (14)-(15). Then, for each $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$\|u(t)\|_V^2 + \|u'(t)\|_H^2 \le \left(D_T \|f_1\|_V^2 + \frac{1}{\nu} \left(\|f_2\|_H^2 + \int_0^t \|h(\tau)\|_H^2 \, d\tau \right) \right) \cdot e^{t \cdot F_T}, \qquad (19)$$

where $\nu := \min\{1, \mu\}$, $D_T := \frac{C_0 + \lambda(1+T)}{\nu}$ and $F_T := \max\{\frac{C_0' + C_1 + C_L}{\nu}, \frac{C_1 + 2 + \lambda(1+T)}{\nu}\}$.

Proof. Setting $v := u'(t)$ in (14) we obtain (as an equality of integrable functions with respect to t)

$$\langle u''(t), u'(t)\rangle + a(t, u(t), u'(t)) + \langle Lu(t), u'(t)\rangle = \langle h(t), u'(t)\rangle.$$

Since $a(t,u,v) = a_0(t,u,v) + a_1(t,u,v)$ and $\langle u''(t), u'(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\langle u'(t), u'(t)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u'(t)\|_H^2$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u'(t)\|_H^2 = -2a_0(t,u(t),u'(t)) - 2a_1(t,u(t),u'(t)) - 2\langle Lu(t), u'(t)\rangle + 2\langle h(t), u'(t)\rangle.$$

Integration from 0 to t_1, for arbitrary $0 < t_1 \le T$, gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|u'(t_1)\|_H^2 - \|f_2\|_H^2 \quad = \quad & -2\int_0^{t_1} a_0(t,u(t),u'(t))\,dt - 2\int_0^{t_1} a_1(t,u(t),u'(t))\,dt \\ & -2\int_0^{t_1} \langle Lu(t), u'(t)\rangle\,dt + 2\int_0^{t_1}\langle h(t), u'(t)\rangle\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{d}{dt}a_0(t,u(t),u(t)) = a_0'(t,u(t),u(t)) + a_0(t,u'(t),u(t)) + a_0(t,u(t),u'(t))$ and hence, by Assumption 1 (ii), $2a_0(t,u'(t),u(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}a_0(t,u(t),u(t)) - a_0'(t,u(t),u(t))$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}LHS := \|u'(t_1)\|_H^2 + a_0(t_1,u(t_1),u(t_1)) = \|f_2\|_H^2 + a_0(0,u(0),u(0)) - \int_0^{t_1} a_0'(t,u(t),u(t))\,dt \\ -2\int_0^{t_1} a_1(t,u(t),u'(t))\,dt - 2\int_0^{t_1}\langle Lu(t),u'(t)\rangle\,dt + 2\int_0^{t_1}\langle h(t),u'(t)\rangle\,dt =: RHS. \qquad (20)\end{aligned}$$

Further, by (8), Assumption 1 (v), the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the inequality $2ab \le a^2 + b^2$, and the assumption (13) on L we have

$$\begin{aligned}|RHS| \le\ & \|f_2\|_H^2 + C_0\|u(0)\|_V^2 + C_0'\int_0^{t_1}\|u(t)\|_V^2\,dt \\ & + 2C_1\int_0^{t_1}\|u(t)\|_V\|u'(t)\|_H\,dt + 2\int_0^{t_1}\|Lu(t)\|_H\|u'(t)\|_H\,dt + 2\int_0^{t_1}\|h(t)\|_H\|u'(t)\|_H\,dt \\ \le\ & \|f_2\|_H^2 + C_0\|f_1\|_V^2 + (C_0' + C_1)\int_0^{t_1}\|u(t)\|_V^2\,dt \\ & + (C_1+2)\int_0^{t_1}\|u'(t)\|_H^2\,dt + \|Lu\|_{L^2(0,t_1;H)}^2 + \int_0^{t_1}\|h(t)\|_H^2\,dt \\ \le\ & \|f_2\|_H^2 + C_0\|f_1\|_V^2 + (C_0' + C_1 + C_L)\int_0^{t_1}\|u(t)\|_V^2\,dt \\ & + (C_1+2)\int_0^{t_1}\|u'(t)\|_H^2\,dt + \int_0^{t_1}\|h(t)\|_H^2\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

Further, it follows from (7) that

$$LHS = \|u'(t_1)\|_H^2 + a_0(t_1,u(t_1),u(t_1)) \ge \|u'(t_1)\|_H^2 + \mu\|u(t_1)\|_V^2 - \lambda\|u(t_1)\|_H^2,$$

and therefore (20) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|u'(t_1)\|_H^2 + \mu\|u(t_1)\|_V^2 \le \lambda\|u(t_1)\|_H^2 + C_0\|f_1\|_V^2 + \|f_2\|_H^2 + \int_0^{t_1}\|h(t)\|_H^2\,dt \\ + (C_0' + C_1 + C_L)\int_0^{t_1}\|u(t)\|_V^2\,dt + (C_1+2)\int_0^{t_1}\|u'(t)\|_H^2\,dt.\end{aligned}$$

As shown in [13] we have that $\|u(t)\|_H^2 \le (1+t)(\|f_1\|_V^2 + \int_0^t \|u'(s)\|_H^2\,ds)$, hence

$$\|u(t_1)\|_V^2 + \|u'(t_1)\|_H^2 \le D_T\|f_1\|_V^2 + \frac{1}{\nu}\left(\|f_2\|_H^2 + \int_0^{t_1}\|h(t)\|_H^2\,dt\right) + F_T\int_0^{t_1}(\|u(t)\|_V^2 + \|u'(t)\|_H^2)\,dt.$$

where $\nu := \min\{1,\mu\}$, $D_T := \frac{C_0+\lambda(1+T)}{\nu}$ and $F_T := \max\{\frac{C_0'+C_1+C_L}{\nu}, \frac{C_1+2+\lambda(1+T)}{\nu}\}$. The claim now follows from Gronwall's lemma. $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 2.4, one also has uniqueness of the solution in Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 there exists a unique $u \in E_V$ satisfying the regularity conditions $u' \in E_V$ and $u'' \in L^2(0,T;V')$, and solving the abstract initial value problem (14)-(15). Moreover, $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T];V)$ and $u' \in \mathcal{C}([0,T];H)$.*

Proof. Since existence of a solution is proved in Lemma 2.3, it remains to show uniqueness part of the theorem. Thus, let u and w be solutions to the abstract initial value problem (14)-(15), satisfying the regularity conditions $u', w' \in E_V$ and $u'', w'' \in L^2(0,T;V')$. Then $u-w$ is a solution to the homogeneous abstract problem with vanishing initial data

$$\langle (u-w''(t),v\rangle + a(t,(u-w)(t),v) + \langle L(u-w)(t),v\rangle = 0, \qquad \forall\, v \in V, \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0,T),$$
$$(u-w)(0) = 0, \qquad (u-w)'(0) = 0.$$

Moreover, according to Proposition 2.4, $u-w$ satisfies the energy estimates (19) with $f_1 = f_2 = h \equiv 0$. This implies uniqueness of the solution. $\square$

2.3 Basic properties of the operator L

In this subsection we analyze our particular form of the operator L, relevant to the problem described in the Introduction. Therefore, we consider an operator of convolution type and seek for conditions which guarantee estimate (13).

Lemma 2.6. *Let $l \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp} l \subset [0,\infty)$. Then for all $T_1 \in [0,T]$, the operator L defined by $Lu(x,t) := \int_0^t l(s)u(x,t-s)\,ds$ maps $L^2(0,T_1;H)$ into itself, and (13) holds with $C_L = \|l\|_{L^2(0,T)} \cdot T$.*

Remark 2.7. We may think of u being extended by 0 outside $[0,T]$ to a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R};H)$, and then identify Lu with $l *_t u$.

Proof. Integration of $\|Lu(t)\|_H^2 \le \int_0^t |l(t-s)|\|u(s)\|_H\,ds$ from 0 to T_1, $0 < T_1 \le T$, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_0^{T_1} \|Lu(t)\|_H^2\,dt\right)^{1/2} &\le \left(\int_0^{T_1} (\int_0^t |l(t-s)|\|u(s)\|_H\,ds)^2\,dt\right)^{1/2} \\
&\le \left(\int_0^{T_1} (\int_0^{T_1} |l(t-s)|\|u(s)\|_H\,ds)^2\,dt\right)^{1/2} \le \int_0^{T_1} \left(\int_0^{T_1} |l(t-s)|^2\|u(s)\|_H^2\,dt\right)^{1/2} ds \\
&= \int_0^{T_1} \left(\int_0^{T_1} |l(t-s)|^2\,dt\right)^{1/2} \|u(s)\|_H\,ds = \|l\|_{L^2(0,T_1)} \cdot \|u\|_{L^1(0,T_1;H)} \\
&\le \|l\|_{L^2(0,T)} \cdot T \cdot \|u\|_{L^2(0,T_1;H)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the support property of l, Minkowski's inequality for integrals (c.f [8, p. 194]), and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. $\square$

In the following lemma we discuss a regularization of L, which will be used in Section 3.2.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $l \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{supp} l \subset [0,\infty)$. Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ be a mollifier ($\operatorname{supp}\rho \subset B_1(0)$, $\int \rho = 1$). Define $\rho_\varepsilon(t) := \gamma_\varepsilon \rho(\gamma_\varepsilon t)$, with $\gamma_\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma_\varepsilon \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $l_\varepsilon := l * \rho_\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{L}_\varepsilon u(t) := (l_\varepsilon *_t u)(t)$, for $u \in E_H$. Then $\forall\, p \in [1,\infty)$, $l_\varepsilon \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and $l_\varepsilon \to l$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|l_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(K)} &= \|l *_t \rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(K)} = \Big(\int_K \Big| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} l(\tau)\rho_\varepsilon(t-\tau)\,d\tau\Big|^p\,dt\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \Big(\int_K \Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |l(\tau)||\rho_\varepsilon(t-\tau)|\,d\tau\Big)^p\,dt\Big)^{1/p} \le \Big(\int_K \Big(\int_{K+B_1(0)} |l(\tau)||\rho_\varepsilon(t-\tau)|\,d\tau\Big)^p\,dt\Big)^{1/p} \\
&\le \int_{K+B_1(0)} \Big(\int_K |l(\tau)|^p|\rho_\varepsilon(t-\tau)|^p\,dt\Big)^{1/p}\,d\tau = \int_{K+B_1(0)} |l(\tau)| \Big(\int_K |\rho_\varepsilon(t-\tau)|^p\,dt\Big)^{1/p}\,d\tau \\
&= \int_{K+B_1(0)} |l(\tau)|\|\rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(B_1(0))}\,d\tau = \|l\|_{L^1(K+B_1(0))}\|\rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(B_1(0))} \\
&= \|l\|_{L^1(K+B_1(0))}\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\cdot\|\rho\|_{L^p(B_1(0))}.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second inequality follows from the support properties of l and ρ ($t-\tau\in B_1(0), t\in K$ implies $\tau\in K+B_1(0)$), while for the third inequality we used Minkowski's inequality for integrals. Further, we shall show that $l_\varepsilon \to l$ in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}$. We claim that $\int_K |l_\varepsilon - l| \to 0$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_K \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} l(t-s)\rho_\varepsilon(s)\,ds - l(t)\cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}}\rho_\varepsilon(s)\,ds\Big|\,dt = \int_K \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(l(t-s)-l(t))\rho_\varepsilon(s)\,ds\Big|\,dt \\
&\overset{[\gamma_\varepsilon s=\tau]}{=} \int_K \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(l(t-\frac{\tau}{\gamma_\varepsilon})-l(t))\rho(\tau)\,d\tau\Big|\,dt \le \int_K\int_{\mathbb{R}} |l(t-\frac{\tau}{\gamma_\varepsilon})-l(t)||\rho(\tau)|\,d\tau\,dt \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}}|\rho(\tau)|\int_K |l(t-\frac{\tau}{\gamma_\varepsilon})-l(t)|\,dt\,d\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

By [8, Prop. 8.5], we have that $\|l(\cdot-\frac{\tau}{\gamma_\varepsilon})-l\|_{L^1(K)}\to 0$, as $\varepsilon\to 0$ and therefore the integrand converges to 0 pointwise almost everywhere. Since it is also bounded by $2|\rho(\tau)|\|l\|_{L^1(K)}\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies the result. □

3 Weak and generalized solutions of the model equations

We now come back to the problem (1)-(2)-(IC)-(BC) or (3)-(IC)-(BC), and hence need to provide assumptions which guarantee that it can be interpreted in the form (14), in order to the apply results obtained above. For that purpose we need to prescribe the regularity of the functions c and b which appear in Q. In Section 3.2 we shall use these results on the level of representatives to prove existence of solutions in the Colombeau generalized setting.

Thus, let $H := L^2(0,1)$ with the standard scalar product $\langle u,v\rangle = \int_0^1 u(x)v(x)\,dx$ and L^2-norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_H$. Let V be the Sobolev space $H_0^2((0,1))$, which is the completion of the space of compactly supported smooth functions $C_c^\infty((0,1))$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_2 = (\sum_{k=0}^2 \|u^{(k)}\|^2)^{1/2}$ (and inner product $(u,v)\mapsto\sum_{k=0}^2\langle u^{(k)},v^{(k)}\rangle$). Then $V' = H^{-2}((0,1))$, which consists of distributional derivatives up to second order of functions in $L^2(0,1)$, and $V\hookrightarrow H\hookrightarrow V'$ forms a Gelfand triple. With this choice of spaces H and V we also have that $E_V = L^2(0,T;H_0^2((0,1)))$ and $E_H = L^2((0,1)\times(0,T))$.

Let

$$c\in L^\infty(0,1) \text{ and real}, \qquad b\in C([0,T];L^\infty(0,1)), \tag{21}$$

and suppose that there exist constants $c_1 > c_0 > 0$ such that

$$0 < c_0 \le c(x) \le c_1, \qquad \text{for almost every } x. \tag{22}$$

For $t\in[0,T]$ we define the bilinear forms $a(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $a_0(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ and $a_1(t,\cdot,\cdot)$ on $V\times V$ by

$$a_0(t,u,v) = \langle c(x)\,\partial_x^2 u, \partial_x^2 v\rangle, \qquad a_1(t,u,v) = \langle b(x,t)\,\partial_x^2 u, v\rangle, \qquad u,v\in V, \tag{23}$$

and

$$a(t,u,v) = a_0(t,u,v) + a_1(t,u,v). \tag{24}$$

Properties (21), (22) imply that a_0, a_1 defined as in (23) satisfy the conditions of Assumption 1 (cf. [13, proof of Th. 2.2]). The specific form of the operator L is designed to achieve equivalence of the system (1)-(2) with the equation (3), which we show in the sequel.

Let $\mathcal{S}'_+$ denote the space of Schwartz' distributions supported in $[0,\infty)$. It is known (c.f. [18]) that for given $z \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ there is a unique $y \in \mathcal{S}'_+$ such that $D_t^\alpha z + z = \theta D_t^\alpha y + y$. Moreover, it is given by $y = \tilde{L}z$, where $\tilde{L}$ is linear convolution operator acting on $\mathcal{S}'_+$ as

$$\tilde{L}z := \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1+s^\alpha}{1+\theta s^\alpha}\right) *_t z, \qquad z \in \mathcal{S}'_+. \tag{25}$$

The following lemma extends the operator $\tilde{L}$ to the space E_H.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\tilde{L} : \mathcal{S}'_+ \to \mathcal{S}'_+$ be defined as in (25). Then $\tilde{L}$ induces a continuous operator $L = \mathrm{Id} + L_\alpha$ on E_H, where L_α corresponds to convolution in time variable with a function $l_\alpha \in L^1_{loc}([0,\infty))$.*

Proof. Recall that for the Mittag-Leffler function $e_\alpha(t,\lambda)$, defined by

$$e_\alpha(t,\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda t^\alpha)^k}{\Gamma(\alpha k+1)},$$

we have that $\mathcal{L}(e_\alpha(t,\lambda))(s) = \frac{s^{\alpha-1}}{s^\alpha+\lambda}$, $e_\alpha \in C^\infty((0,\infty)) \cap C([0,\infty))$ and $e'_\alpha \in C^\infty((0,\infty)) \cap L^1_{loc}([0,\infty))$ (cf. [15]). Also,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1+s^\alpha}{1+\theta s^\alpha}\right)(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(1 + \frac{(1-\theta)s^\alpha}{\theta(s^\alpha+\frac{1}{\theta})}\right)(t) = \delta(t) + \left(\frac{1}{\theta}-1\right)e'_\alpha\left(t,\frac{1}{\theta}\right) =: \delta(t) + l_\alpha(t).$$

Let $u \in E_H$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left(\frac{1+s^\alpha}{1+\theta s^\alpha}\right)(\cdot) *_t u(x,\cdot) = u(x,\cdot) + \left(\frac{1}{\theta}-1\right)e'_\alpha * u(x,\cdot) \tag{26}$$

is an element in $L^2(0,T)$ for almost all x (use Fubini's theorem, $e'_\alpha \in L^1(0,T)$ and $L^1 * L^p \subset L^p$ (cf. [8])). Extend this to a measurable function on $(0,1)\times(0,T)$, denoted by Lu. By Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(Lu)(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,T)} &\le \|u(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,T)} + |\frac{1}{\theta}-1|\|e'_\alpha * u(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,T)}\\ &\le \|u(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,T)} + |\frac{1}{\theta}-1|\|e'_\alpha\|_{L^1(0,T)}\|u(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2(0,T)},\end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\|Lu\|_{E_H} \le (1 + |\frac{1}{\theta}-1|\|e'_\alpha\|_{L^1(0,T)})\|u\|_{E_H}. \tag{27}$$

Thus, $Lu \in E_H$ and L is continuous on E_H. □

We may write

$$Lu := (\mathrm{Id} + L_\alpha)u = l *_t u = (\delta + l_\alpha) *_t u \quad \text{with} \quad L_\alpha u := l_\alpha *_t u,\ l_\alpha := (\frac{1}{\theta}-1)e'_\alpha(t,\frac{1}{\theta}), \tag{28}$$

and therefore the model system (1)-(2) is equivalent to Equation (3).

3.1 Weak solutions for L^∞ coefficients

Now we are in a position to apply the abstract results from the previous section to the original problem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let b and c be as in (21) and (22). Let the bilinear form $a(t,\cdot,\cdot)$, $t \in [0,T]$, be defined by (23) and (24), and the operator L as in (28). Let $f_1 \in H_0^2((0,1))$, $f_2 \in L^2(0,1)$ and $h \in L^2((0,1)\times(0,T))$. Then there exists a unique $u \in L^2(0,T;H_0^2(0,1))$ satisfying*

$$u' = \frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0,T;H_0^2(0,1)), \qquad u'' = \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} \in L^2(0,T;H^{-2}(0,1)), \tag{29}$$

and solving the initial value problem

$$\langle u''(t),v\rangle + a(t,u(t),v) + \langle Lu(t),v\rangle = 0, \qquad \forall\, v \in H_0^2((0,1)),\, t \in (0,T), \tag{30}$$

$$u(0) = f_1, \qquad u'(0) = f_2. \tag{31}$$

(Note that, as in the abstract version, since (29) implies $u \in C([0,T],H_0^2((0,1)))$ and $u' \in C([0,T],H^{-2}((0,1)))$ it makes sense to evaluate $u(0) \in H_0^2((0,1))$ and $u'(0) \in H^{-2}((0,1))$ and (31) claims that these equal f_1 and f_2, respectively.)

Proof. We may apply Lemma 2.3 because the bilinear form a and the operator L satisfy Assumption 1 and condition (13). The latter is true according to (27) with $C_L = (1+|\frac{1}{\theta}-1|\|e'_\alpha\|_{L^1(0,T)}) = 1 + \|l_\alpha\|_{L^2(0,T)}$. As noted earlier, the bilinear forms a, a_0 and a_1 are as in [13, (20) and (21)]. Moreover, it follows as in the proof of [13, Theorem 2.2] that a satisfies Assumption 1 with

$$C_0 := \|c\|_{L^\infty(0,1)}, \quad C_0' := 0, \quad C_1 := \|b\|_{L^\infty((0,1)\times(0,T))}, \quad \mu := \frac{c_0}{2}, \quad \lambda := C_{1/2}\cdot c_0, \tag{32}$$

where $C_{1/2}$ is corresoponding constant form Ehrling's lemma. □

We briefly recall two facts about the solution u obtained in Theorem 3.2 (as noted similarly in [13, Section 2]):

(i) Since $u(.,t) \in H_0^2((0,1))$ for all $t \in [0,T]$ and $H_0^2((0,1))$ is continuously embedded in $\{v \in C^1([0,1]) : v(0,t) = v(1,t) = 0, \partial_x v(0,t) = \partial_x v(1,t) = 0\}$ ([19, Corollary 6.2]) the solution u automatically satisfies the boundary conditions.

(ii) The properties in (29) imply that u belongs to $C^1([0,T],H^{-2}((0,1))) \cap L^2((0,T)\times(0,1))$, which is a subspace of $\mathcal{D}'((0,1)\times(0,T))$. Thus in case of smooth coefficients b and c we obtain a distributional solution to the "integro-differential" equation

$$\partial_t^2 u + \partial_x^2(c\,\partial_x^2)u + b\,\partial_x^2 u + l *_t u = h.$$

3.2 Colombeau generalized solutions

We will prove unique solvability of Equation (3) (or equivalently, of Equations (1)-(2)) with (IC) and (BC) for $u \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ when b, c, f_1, f_2, g and h are Colombeau generalized functions, where $X_T := (0,1)\times(0,T)$.

In more detail, we find a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ to the equation

$$\partial_t^2 u + Q(t,x,\partial_x)u + Lu = h, \qquad \text{on } X_T$$

with initial conditions

$$u|_{t=0} = f_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}, \qquad \partial_t u|_{t=0} = f_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$$

and boundary conditions

$$u|_{x=0} = u|_{x=1} = 0, \qquad \partial_x u|_{x=0} = \partial_x u|_{x=1} = 0.$$

Here Q is a partial differential operator on $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ with generalized functions as coefficients, defined by its action on representatives in the form

$$(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \mapsto \left(\partial_x^2(c_\varepsilon(x)\partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon)) + b_\varepsilon(x,t)\partial_x^2(u_\varepsilon)\right)_\varepsilon =: (Q_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, the operator L corresponds to convolution on the level of representatives with regularizations of l as given in Lemma 2.8:

$$(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \mapsto (l_\varepsilon *_t u_\varepsilon(t))_\varepsilon =: (L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon,$$

where $l_\varepsilon = l * \rho_\varepsilon$, with ρ_ε introduced in Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 3.3. *(i) If $l \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ and l is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ in $(0,\infty)$ then L is a continuous operator on $H^\infty(X_T)$. Thus $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \mapsto (Lu_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ defines a linear map on $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$.*

(ii) If $l \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ then $\forall\, \varepsilon \in (0,1]$ the operator L_ε is continuous on $H^\infty(X_T)$ and $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \mapsto (Lu_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ defines a linear map on $\mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$.

Proof. (i) From Lemma 2.6 with $H = L^2(0,1)$ we have that L is continuous on $L^2(X_T)$ with operator norm $\|L\|_{op} \le T \cdot \|l\|_{L^2(0,T)}$.

Let $u \in H^\infty(X_T)$ and $Lu(x,t) = \int_0^t l(s)u(x,t-s)\,ds$. We have to show that all derivatives of Lu with respect to both x and t are in $L^2(X_T)$.

- $\partial_x^l Lu(x,t) = \int_0^t l(s)\partial_x^l u(x,t-s)\,ds$, and hence, $\|\partial_x^l Lu\|_{L^2(X_T)} \le T \cdot \|l\|_{L^2(0,T)}\|\partial_x^l u\|_{L^2(X_T)}$.
- $\partial_t^k\partial_x^l Lu = \partial_t^k L(\partial_x^l u)$, and since the estimates for $L(\partial_x^l u)$ are known it suffices to consider only terms $\partial_t^k Lu$. For the first order derivative we have

  $$\partial_t Lu(x,t) = l(t)u(x,0) + \int_0^t l(s)\partial_t u(x,t-s)\,ds$$

  and therefore

  $$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t Lu\|_{L^2(X_T)} &\le \|l\|_{L^2(0,T)}\|u(\cdot,0)\|_{L^2(0,1)} + T\cdot\|l\|_{L^2(0,T)}\|\partial_t u\|_{L^2(X_T)}\\ &\le \|l\|_{L^2(0,T)}(\|u\|_{H^m(X_T)} + T\cdot\|u\|_{H^1(X_T)}),\end{aligned}$$

  where we have used the fact that $\mathrm{Tr} : H^\infty(X_T) \to H^\infty((0,1))$, $u \mapsto u(\cdot,0)$ is continuous, and more precisely, $\mathrm{Tr} : H^m(X_T) \to H^{m-1}((0,1))$ with estimates $\|\partial_x^l\partial_t^k u(\cdot,0)\|_{L^2(0,1)} \le \|u\|_{H^m(X_T)}$, $m = m(k,l)$.

  Higher order derivatives involve terms $l^{(r)}(t)\partial_t^p u(x,0), \ldots, \int_0^t l(s)\partial_t^p u(x,t-s)\,ds$, which can be estimated as above.

(ii) From Lemma 2.8 it follows that $l_\varepsilon \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\|l_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T)} \le \gamma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|l\|_{L^1(0,T)}\|\rho\|_{L^2(0,T)}$. From Lemma 2.6 we know that L_ε is continuous $X_T \to X_T$, with $\|L_\varepsilon\|_{op} \le T\cdot\|l_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T)} \le T\cdot\gamma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\|l\|_{L^1(0,T)}\|\rho\|_{L^2(0,T)}$, which is moderate. We can now proceed as in (i) to produce estimates of $\|L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\|_{H^r(X_T)}$, $\forall\, r \in \mathbb{N}$, always replacing $\|l\|_{L^2(0,T)}$ by $\gamma_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\|l\|_{L^1(0,T)}\|\rho\|_{L^2(0,T)}$ factors. Since $\gamma_\varepsilon \le \varepsilon^{-N}$ it follows that $(L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$. □

Remark 3.4. The function l as defined in (28) belongs to $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, if $\alpha > 1/2$, and to $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, if $\alpha \le 1/2$ (which follows from the explicit form of $e'_\alpha(t,\frac{1}{\theta})$). This means that in case $\alpha > 1/2$ we could in fact define the operator L without regularization of l.

As in the classical case we also have to impose a condition to ensure compatibility of initial with boundary values, namely (as equation in generalized numbers)

$$f_1(0) = f_1(1) = 0. \tag{33}$$

Note that if $f_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$ satisfies (33) then there is some representative $(f_{1,\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$ of f_1 with the property $f_{1,\varepsilon} \in H_0^2((0,1))$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ (cf. the discussion right below Equation (28) in [13]).

Motivated by condition (22) above on the bending stiffness we assume the following about c: There exist real constants $c_1 > c_0 > 0$ such that $c \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(0,1))}$ possesses a representative $(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ satisfying

$$0 < c_0 \le c_\varepsilon(x) \le c_1 \qquad \forall\, x \in (0,1), \forall\, \varepsilon \in (0,1]. \tag{34}$$

(Hence any other representative of c has upper and lower bounds of the same type.)

As in many evolution-type problems with Colombeau generalized functions we also need the standard assumption that b is of L^∞-log-type (similar to [16]), which means that for some (hence any) representative $(b_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of b there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ such that

$$\|b_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(X_T)} \le N \cdot \log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}), \qquad 0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0. \tag{35}$$

It has been noted already in [16, Proposition 1.5] that log-type regularizations of distributions are obtained in a straight-forward way by convolution with logarithmically scaled mollifiers.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $b \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ be of L^∞-log-type and $c \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(0,1))}$ satisfy (34). Let $\gamma_\varepsilon = O(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$. For any $f_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$ satisfying (33), $f_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$, $h \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ and $l \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty((0,1))}$, there is a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{G}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$ to the initial-boundary value problem*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t^2 u + Q(t,x,\partial_x)u + Lu = h,\\
&u|_{t=0} = f_1, \quad \partial_t u|_{t=0} = f_2,\\
&u|_{x=0} = u|_{x=1} = 0, \quad \partial_x u|_{x=0} = \partial_x u|_{x=1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Thanks to the preparations a considerable part of the proof may be adapted from the corresponding proof in [13, Theorem 3.1]. Therefore we give details only for the first part and sketch the procedure from there on.

Existence: We choose representatives $(b_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of b and $(c_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ of c satisfying (34) and (35). Further let $(f_{1\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$, $(f_{2\varepsilon})_\varepsilon$, $(l_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$, and $(h_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ be representatives of f_1,f_2, l, and g, respectively, where we may assume $f_{1,\varepsilon} \in H_0^2((0,1))$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ (cf. (33)).

For every $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ Theorem 3.2 provides us with a unique solution $u_\varepsilon \in H^1((0,T), H_0^2((0,1))) \cap H^2((0,T), H^{-2}((0,1)))$ to

$$\begin{aligned}
P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon := \partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon + Q_\varepsilon(t,x,\partial_x)u_\varepsilon + L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon \qquad &\text{on } X_T, \\
u_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = f_{1\varepsilon}, \qquad \partial_t u_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = f_{2\varepsilon}.&
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

In particular, we have $u_\varepsilon \in C^1([0,T], H^{-2}((0,1))) \cap C([0,T], H_0^2((0,1)))$.

Proposition 2.4 implies the energy estimate

$$\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^2}^2 + \|u_\varepsilon'(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \big(D_T^\varepsilon\, \|f_{1\varepsilon}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|f_{2,\varepsilon}\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|h_\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2\, d\tau\big) \cdot \exp(t\, F_T^\varepsilon), \tag{37}$$

where with some N we have as $\varepsilon \to 0$

$$D_T^\varepsilon = (\|c_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} + \lambda(1+T))/\min(\mu,1) = O(\|c_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}) = O(1) \tag{38}$$

$$F_T^\varepsilon = \frac{\max\{\|b_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} + C_{L,\varepsilon}, \|b_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} + 2 + \lambda(1+T)\}}{\min(\mu,1)} = O(C_{L,\varepsilon} + \|b_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty}) = O(\log(\varepsilon^{-N})), \tag{39}$$

since μ and λ are independent of ε, and $C_{L,\varepsilon} = O(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ (cf. (32)).

By moderateness of the initial data $f_{1\varepsilon}$, $f_{2\varepsilon}$ and of the right-hand side h_ε the inequality (37) thus implies that there exists M such that for small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 + \|\partial_x u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 + \|\partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 + \|\partial_t u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 = O(\varepsilon^{-M}), \qquad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{40}$$

From here on the remaining chain of arguments proceeds along the lines of the proof in [13, Theorem 3.1]. We only indicate a few key points requiring certain adaptions.

The goal is to prove the following properties:

1.) For every $\varepsilon \in (0,1]$ we have $u_\varepsilon \in H^\infty(X_T) \subseteq C^\infty(\overline{X_T})$.

2.) Moderateness, i.e. for all $l,k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|\partial_t^l \partial_x^k u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)} = O(\varepsilon^{-M}). \tag{$T_{l,k}$}$$

Note that (40) already yields $(T_{l,k})$ for $(l,k) \in \{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(0,2)\}$.

Proof of 1.) Differentiating (36) (considered as an equation in $\mathcal{D}'((0,1)\times(0,T))$) with respect to t we obtain

$$P_\varepsilon(\partial_t u_\varepsilon) = \partial_t h_\varepsilon - \partial_t b_\varepsilon(x,t)\partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon - l_\varepsilon(t) f_{1,\varepsilon} =: \tilde{h}_\varepsilon,$$

where we used $\partial_t(L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) = L_\varepsilon(\partial_t u_\varepsilon) + l_\varepsilon(t)u_\varepsilon(0)$. We have $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon \in H^1((0,T),L^2(0,1))$ since $\partial_t h_\varepsilon \in H^\infty(X_T)$, $l_\varepsilon \in H^\infty((0,T))$, $f_{1,\varepsilon} \in H^\infty((0,1))$, $\partial_t b_\varepsilon(x,t) \in H^\infty(X_T) \subset W^{\infty,\infty}(X_T)$ and $\partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon \in H^1((0,T),L^2(0,1))$. Furthermore, since Q_ε depends smoothly on t as a differential operator in x and $u_\varepsilon(0) = f_{1,\varepsilon} \in H^\infty((0,1))$ we have

$$(\partial_t u_\varepsilon)(\cdot,0) = f_{2,\varepsilon} =: \tilde{f}_{1,\varepsilon} \in H^\infty((0,1)),$$

$$(\partial_t(\partial_t u_\varepsilon))(\cdot,0) = h_\varepsilon(\cdot,0) - Q_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(\cdot,0)) - L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(\cdot,0) = h_\varepsilon(\cdot,0) - (Q_\varepsilon + L_\varepsilon) f_{1,\varepsilon} := \tilde{f}_{2,\varepsilon} \in H^\infty((0,1)).$$

Hence $\partial_t u_\varepsilon$ satisfies an initial value problem for the partial differential operator P_ε as in (36) with initial data $\tilde{f}_{1,\varepsilon}$, $\tilde{f}_{2,\varepsilon}$ and right-hand side $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon$ instead. However, this time we have to use $V = H^2((0,1))$ (replacing $H_0^2((0,1))$) and $H = L^2(0,1)$ in the abstract setting, which still can serve to define a Gelfand triple $V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow V'$ (cf. [19, Theorem 17.4(b)]) and thus allows for application of Lemma 2.3 and the energy estimate (19) (with precisely the same constants).

Therefore we obtain $\partial_t u_\varepsilon \in H^1([0,T],H^2((0,1)))$, i.e. $u_\varepsilon \in H^2((0,T),H^2((0,1)))$ and from the variants of (37) (with exactly the same constants D_T^ε and F_T^ε) and (40) with $\partial_t u_\varepsilon$ in place of u_ε that for some M we have

$$\|\partial_t u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 + \|\partial_x \partial_t u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 + \|\partial_x^2 \partial_t u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)}^2 = O(\varepsilon^{-M}) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0). \tag{41}$$

Thus we have proved $(T_{l,k})$ with $(l,k) = (2,0),(1,1),(1,2)$ in addition to those obtained from (40) directly.

The remaining part of the proof of property 1.) requires the exact same kind of adaptions in the corresponding parts in Step 1 of the proof of [13, Th. 3.1] and we skip its details here. In particular, along the way one also obtains that

$$(T_{l,k}) \text{ holds for derivatives of arbitrary } l \text{ and } k \leq 2.$$

Proof of 2.) From the estimates achieved in proving 1.) and equation (36) we deduce that

$$k_\varepsilon := \partial_x^2(c_\varepsilon\, \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon) = h_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon\, \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon - \partial_t^2 u_\varepsilon - L_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon$$

satisfies for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ with some N_l an estimate

$$\|\partial_t^l k_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)} = O(\varepsilon^{-N_l}) \qquad (\varepsilon \to 0). \tag{42}$$

Here we are again in the same situation as in Step 2 of the proof of [13, Theorem 3.1], where now k_ε plays the role of h_ε there. Skipping again details of completely analogous arguments we arrive at the conclusion that the class of $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ defines a solution to the initial value problem.

Moreover, we have by construction that $u_\varepsilon(t) \in H_0^2((0,1))$ for all $t \in [0,T]$, hence $u(0,t) = u(1,t) = 0$ and $\partial_x u(0,t) = \partial_x u(1,t) = 0$ and thus u also satisfies the boundary conditions.

Uniqueness: If $u = [(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon]$ satisfies initial-boundary value problem with zero initial values and right-hand side, then we have for all $q \geq 0$

$$\|f_{1,\varepsilon}\| = O(\varepsilon^q), \quad \|f_{2,\varepsilon}\| = O(\varepsilon^q), \quad \|h_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)} = O(\varepsilon^q) \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Therefore the energy estimate (37) in combination with (38)-(39) imply for all $q \geq 0$ an estimate

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(X_T)} = O(\varepsilon^q) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0),$$

from which we conclude that $(u_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}_{H^\infty(X_T)}$, i.e., $u = 0$. □

References

[1] Adams, R. A., Fournier, J. *Sobolev Spaces.* Elsevier, Oxford, second edition, 2003.

[2] Atanacković, T. M. *Stability Theory of Elastic Rods.* World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.

[3] Biagioni, H. A., Oberguggenberger, M. Generalized solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries and the regularized long-wave equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **23**:923–940, 1992.

[4] Biondi, B., Caddemi, S. Euler-Bernoulli beams with multiple singularities in the flexural stiffness. *Eur. J. Mech., A, Solids*, **46**(5):789–809, 2007.

[5] Colombeau, J. F. Une multiplication générale des distributions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I*, **296**:357–360, 1983.

[6] Colombeau, J. F. *New Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions.* North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[7] Dautray, R., Lions, J.L. *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, volume **5**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

[8] Folland, G. B. *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications.* John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999.

[9] Garetto, C. Topological structures in Colombeau algebras: investigation of the duals of $\mathcal{G}_c(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{G}(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{S}}(\Omega)$. *Monatsh. Math.*, **146**(3):203–226, 2005.

[10] Grosser, M., Kunzinger, M., Oberguggenberger, M., Steinbauer, R. *Geometric Theory of Generalized Functions*, volume 537 of *Mathematics and its Applications.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.

[11] Hörmann, G. First order hyperbolic pseudodifferential equations with generalized symbols. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **293**:40–56, 2004.

[12] Hörmann, G., Oparnica, Lj. Distributional solution concepts for the Euler-Bernoulli beam equation with discontinuous coefficients. *Applic. Anal.*, **86**(11):1347–1363, 2007.

[13] Hörmann, G., Oparnica, Lj. Generalized solutions for the Euler-Bernoulli model with distributional forces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **357**(1):142–153, 2009.

[14] Lions, J. L., Magenes, E. *Non-homogenious Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, volume **1, 2, 3**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[15] Mainardi, F., Gorenflo, R. On Mittag-Leffler-type functions in fractional evolution processes. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **118**(1-2):283–299, 2000.

[16] Oberguggenberger, M. Hyperbolic systems with discontinuous coefficients: generalized solutions and a transmission problem in acoustics. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **142**:452–467, 1989.

[17] Oberguggenberger, M. *Multiplication of Distributions and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, volume **259** of *Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics.* Longman, Harlow, U.K., 1992.

[18] Oparnica, Lj. Generalized fractional calculus with applications in mechanics. *Matematički vesnik*, **53**:151–158, 2002.

[19] Wloka, J. *Partial differential equations.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1992.

[20] Yavari, A., Sarkani, S. On applications of generalized functions to the analysis of Euler-Bernuli beam columns with jump discontinuities. *Int. J. Mech. Sci.*, **43**(6):1543–1562, 2001.

[21] Yavari, A., Sarkani, S., Reddy, J. N. On nonuniform Euler-Bernoulli and Timoshenko beams with jump discontinuities: application of distribution theory. *Int. J. Solid. Struct.*, **38**(46-47):8389–8406, 2001.